



**TRUST**  
**Over IP**  
**FOUNDATION**

# ToIP Governance Metamodel Specification

Version 1.0

21 December 2021

This publicly available specification was approved by the ToIP Foundation Steering Committee on 21 December 2021.

The mission of the [Trust over IP \(ToIP\) Foundation](#) is to define a complete architecture for Internet-scale digital trust that combines cryptographic assurance at the machine layer with human accountability at the business, legal, and social layers. Founded in May 2020 as a non-profit hosted by the Linux Foundation, the ToIP Foundation has over 300 organizational and 100 individual members from around the world.

Please see the end page for licensing information and how to get involved with the Trust Over IP Foundation.

# Table of Contents

<b>Document Information.....</b>	<b>3</b>
Contributors .....	3
Revision History .....	3
Terms of Use.....	3
Terminology and Notation .....	3
<b>ToIP Governance Metamodel Specification.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1. Primary Document.....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1. Introduction .....	5
1.2. Terminology and Notation.....	5
ToIP Governance Requirements Glossary .....	6
1.3. Localization .....	7
1.4. Governing Authority .....	7
1.5. Administering Authority .....	7
1.6. Purpose .....	8
1.7. Scope.....	8
1.8. Objectives .....	8
1.9. Principles.....	8
1.10. General Requirements.....	9
1.11. Revisions .....	9
1.12. Extensions .....	9
1.13. Schedule of Controlled Documents .....	10
<b>2. Controlled Documents .....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1. Glossary.....	11
2.2. Risk Assessment .....	11
2.3. Trust Assurance and Certification.....	11
2.4. Governance Requirements .....	12
2.5. Business Requirements.....	12
2.6. Technical Requirements .....	12
2.7. Information Trust Requirements .....	13
2.8. Inclusion, Equitability, and Accessibility Requirements .....	13
2.9. Legal Agreements .....	13

# Document Information

## Contributors

This specification was a deliverable of the [ToIP Governance Stack Working Group](#) co-chaired by:

- Drummond Reed — Evernym
- Scott Perry — Scott S. Perry CPA, PLLC

## Revision History

Version	Date Approved	Revisions
1.0	21 December 2021	Initial Publication

## Terms of Use

These materials are made available under and are subject to the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>).

THESE MATERIALS ARE PROVIDED "AS IS." The Trust Over IP Foundation, established as the Joint Development Foundation Projects, LLC, Trust Over IP Foundation Series ("ToIP"), and its members and contributors (each of ToIP, its members and contributors, a "ToIP Party") expressly disclaim any warranties (express, implied, or otherwise), including implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement, fitness for a particular purpose, or title, related to the materials. The entire risk as to implementing or otherwise using the materials is assumed by the implementer and user.

IN NO EVENT WILL ANY ToIP PARTY BE LIABLE TO ANY OTHER PARTY FOR LOST PROFITS OR ANY FORM OF INDIRECT, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES OF ANY CHARACTER FROM ANY CAUSES OF ACTION OF ANY KIND WITH RESPECT TO THESE MATERIALS, ANY DELIVERABLE OR THE ToIP GOVERNING AGREEMENT, WHETHER BASED ON BREACH OF CONTRACT, TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE), OR OTHERWISE, AND WHETHER OR NOT THE OTHER PARTY HAS BEEN ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

## Terminology and Notation

All terms appearing in **bold** in this **specification** are listed in either the [ToIP Core Glossary](#) (based on the [ToIP Core terms wiki](#)) or the [ToIP Governance Glossary](#) (based on the [GSWG terms wiki](#).) For more information see the [Terms Wiki](#) page of the [Concepts and Terminology Working Group](#).

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#).

# ToIP Governance Metamodel Specification

The Trust Over IP Foundation has developed a single [metamodel](#) for GF documents called the **ToIP governance metamodel**. Because it brings together all **requirements** for the structure and content of ToIP-compliant GFs in one place, it is defined in a separate **specification**. All ToIP-compliant GFs **MUST** conform to the **requirements** of the [ToIP Governance Metamodel Specification](#).

Please see the [Governance Metamodel Companion Guide](#) for a "user's guide" to this specification. The purpose of this ToIP **specification** is to provide an overall template for ToIP-compatible **governance frameworks** from which **layer-specific templates** are derived. Each **layer-specific template** **MUST** comply with this specification. They **SHOULD** add details such as:

- Layer-specific ToIP **roles**.
- Layer-specific ToIP **processes** in which **actors** in those **roles** are engaged.
- Layer-specific **risks** against which a **risk assessment** should be performed (see the [Risk Assessment Worksheet Template](#)).
- Layer-specific elements of a **trust assurance framework** to address those **risks** (see the [Trust Assurance and Certification Controlled Document Template](#)).

# 1. Primary Document

The **primary document** is the "home page" for the **governance framework** (GF). It:

1. MUST have a **DID** ([decentralized identifier](#)) that serves as an identifier of the entire GF.
2. MUST have a unique **DID URL** (as defined in the [W3C Decentralized Identifiers 1.0 specification](#)) to identify each specific version of the **primary document**.
3. MUST contain authoritative references to all other documents included in the GF, called **controlled documents**.

## 1.1. Introduction

This section is a non-normative general introduction to the GF whose purpose is to orient first-time readers as to the overall context of the GF. It:

1. SHOULD reference any external websites, white papers, or other helpful background materials.
2. SHOULD reference the [ToIP Foundation](#), the **ToIP stack**, and the specific version of the **ToIP governance template** upon which the GF is based (if any).
3. MAY include an "Acknowledgements" section citing contributors to the GF.

## 1.2. Terminology and Notation

This section asserts the **terminology** conventions used in the GF. It:

1. MUST explicitly specify the use of the *ToIP Governance Requirements Glossary* (see below).
2. MUST reference the GF *Glossary* **controlled document** for all other **terms** (see the *Controlled Documents* section).
3. MAY specify that terms specific to one **controlled document** are defined in that **controlled document**.
4. MUST specify that all RFC 2119 **keywords** used with their RFC 2119 meanings are CAPITALIZED.
5. SHOULD specify any other formatting, layout, or notation conventions used in the **primary document** and/or **controlled documents**.

## ToIP Governance Requirements Glossary

<b>requirement</b>	In the context of a <a href="#">governance framework</a> (GF), a requirement states a condition that an <a href="#">actor</a> (human or machine) must meet in order to be in conformance. In ToIP-compliant GFs, all requirements MUST be expressed using <a href="#">RFC 2119 keywords</a> .
<b>mandatory</b>	A <a href="#">requirement</a> expressed using one of the following <a href="#">RFC 2119 keywords</a> : "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT".
<b>recommendation</b>	A <a href="#">requirement</a> expressed using one of the following <a href="#">RFC 2119 keywords</a> : "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED".
<b>option</b>	A <a href="#">requirement</a> expressed using one of the following <a href="#">RFC 2119 keywords</a> : "MAY", "OPTIONAL".
<b>human-auditable requirement</b>	A <a href="#">requirement</a> expressed in a human language that can only be fulfilled by a human <a href="#">actor</a> performing a set of <a href="#">processes</a> and <a href="#">practices</a> against which conformance can only be tested by an <a href="#">auditor</a> of some kind. In a ToIP-compliant <a href="#">governance framework</a> , human-auditable requirements are expressed as <a href="#">policies</a> .
<b>machine-testable requirement</b>	A <a href="#">requirement</a> written in a <a href="#">machine-readable</a> format such that conformance of a software <a href="#">actor</a> implementing the requirement can be tested by an automated <a href="#">test suite</a> or <a href="#">rules engine</a> . In a ToIP-compliant <a href="#">governance framework</a> , machine-readable requirements are expressed as <a href="#">rules</a> in a <a href="#">rules-based language</a> .
<b>policy</b>	A <a href="#">human-auditable requirement</a> that specifies some set of <a href="#">processes</a> and <a href="#">practices</a> that an <a href="#">actor</a> must follow in order to be in conformance with the <a href="#">requirement</a> .
<b>process</b>	A specified set of <a href="#">actions</a> that an <a href="#">actor</a> must take in order to be in conformance with a <a href="#">policy</a> . A process may consist of a set of <a href="#">practices</a> .
<b>practice</b>	A specified activity that an <a href="#">actor</a> must perform as part of a <a href="#">process</a> .
<b>rule</b>	A <a href="#">machine-testable requirement</a> written in a <a href="#">machine-readable</a> language that can be processed by a <a href="#">rules engine</a> .
<b>specification</b>	A document or set of documents containing any combination of <a href="#">human-auditable requirements</a> and <a href="#">machine-testable requirements</a> needed to produce interoperability amongst implementers. Specifications may be included in (as <a href="#">controlled documents</a> ) or referenced from a <a href="#">governance framework</a> .

## 1.3. Localization

This section covers the **policies** governing languages and translations for the GF. It:

1. MUST specify the official language or languages for the GF.
2. SHOULD use an [IETF BCP 47 language tag](#) to identify each official language.
3. SHOULD specify and provide links to all official translations of the GF.
4. SHOULD specify the **policies** and/or **rules** governing the production of translations.

## 1.4. Governing Authority

This section asserts the legal **authority** for governance of the GF.

1. For the **governing authority** (or each interdependent **governing authority**), this section:
  - a. MUST state the full legal identity including **jurisdiction(s)**.
  - b. MUST state the **DID**.
  - c. SHOULD include the [Legal Entity Identifier](#) (LEI) of the **governing authority** as defined by the [Global Legal Entity Foundation \(GLEIF\)](#).
  - d. MUST provide contact information for official communication with the **governing authority**.
  - e. SHOULD provide contact information for official persons acting on behalf of the **governing authority**.
2. For the GF itself, this section:
  - a. SHOULD provide the URL for a publicly-accessible website dedicated to the GF ("**GF website**").
3. The **GF website** SHOULD include:
  - a. HTML versions of all documents in the GF.
  - b. PDF versions of all documents in the GF.
  - c. Highlighted links to the *Governance Requirements* **controlled document(s)** that specify how the **governing authority** itself is governed.
  - d. If applicable, a primary **trust mark** displayed prominently on the home page and in the header of every other page.

## 1.5. Administering Authority

This section is only REQUIRED if the **administering authority** for the GF is different from the **governing authority**. It:

1. MUST state the full legal identity of the **administering authority**.
  - a. SHOULD provide the [Legal Entity Identifier](#) (LEI) of the **administering authority** as defined by the [Global Legal Entity Foundation \(GLEIF\)](#).
2. MUST provide contact information for official communication with the **administering authority**.
  - a. SHOULD provide contact information for official contacts acting on behalf of the **administering authority**.
3. MUST clearly define the **role** of the **administering authority** i.e., what administrative authority the **governing authority** delegates to the **administering authority** and what decisions and **processes** remain the responsibility of the **governing authority**.

## 1.6. Purpose

This is a short, clear statement of the overall purpose ("mission") of the GF. It:

1. SHOULD be as short and concise as possible—ideally one sentence, or at most one paragraph.

## 1.7. Scope

This is a statement of what is in and out of scope for the GF. It:

1. SHOULD clearly state the primary governed **roles** in the **trust community**.
2. SHOULD state any other relevant stakeholders.
3. SHOULD state the primary types of interactions, transactions, or **processes** in which the actors serving these **roles** will be engaged.
4. SHOULD state what kind of artifacts will be governed.
5. SHOULD, if applicable, clearly state what is out of scope.

## 1.8. Objectives

This section states the high-level outcomes desired by the **trust community** through its adoption of the GF. It:

1. SHOULD specify tangible, achievable results (e.g. [SMART criteria](#) and [Fit-for-Purpose criteria](#)).
2. MUST only contain outcomes over which the GF has the **authority** and mechanisms to achieve within its scope.
3. MUST be consistent with the **principles** of the GF (below).

## 1.9. Principles

This section states the **principles** by which all members of the **trust community** agree to abide. It:

1. SHOULD serve as a guide to the development of **policies**, **rules**, and other **requirements** in the GF ("**principles** guide **policies**").
2. SHOULD, if applicable, refer to previously existing **principles** (whether defined by ToIP or other sources).
3. SHOULD be referenced (along with any other relevant parts of the GF) in any *Legal Agreement* so as to help clarify intent.
4. MUST NOT include **requirements** (e.g., using capitalized [RFC 2119 keywords](#)) for which either human or machine conformance can be directly tested — those MUST be stated as **policies** or **rules** elsewhere in the GF.



## 1.10. General Requirements

This section contains **requirements** that apply to the GF *as a whole* and not just in the context of a particular **controlled document**. It:

1. SHOULD include the **requirements** that:
  - a. Generally apply to governance of the entire **trust community**.
  - b. Apply to the structure of the GF (e.g., who is responsible for which **controlled documents**).
  - c. Guide the development of more specific **requirements** within the **controlled documents**.
2. SHOULD NOT include **requirements** that apply only within the context of a specific category addressed by one of the **controlled documents**.
3. MUST include any **responsible use policies** that apply to infrastructure governed by the GF.
4. MUST include any **regulatory compliance policies** that are not specified within particular **controlled documents**.
5. SHOULD include a [Code of Conduct](#) (if not included in the legal documents) that applies to all **trust community** members.

## 1.11. Revisions

This section contains the specific **requirements** governing revisions to the GF. It:

1. MUST include **requirements** specifying:
  - a. How any revisions to the GF will be developed, reviewed, and approved.
  - b. How any new versions will be uniquely identified with a **DID URL**.
2. SHOULD include at least one public review period for any publicly-available GF.
3. SHOULD NOT include any other types of **requirements** that pertain to governance of the **governing authorit(ies)**. Those should be defined in **controlled documents** in the *Governance Requirements* category.

## 1.12. Extensions

This section applies to GFs that permit **extension GFs** (a common feature of some **ecosystem GFs**). It:

1. MUST state whether the GF can be extended.
2. MUST specify the **requirements** an **extension GF** must meet in order to be approved.
3. MUST specify the **process** by which an **extension GF** can be approved.
4. MUST define **requirements** for registration, activation, and deactivation of an approved **extension GF**.
5. MUST define the **requirements** for notification of **trust community members** about activation or deactivation of an approved **extension GF**.

## 1.13. Schedule of Controlled Documents

If **controlled documents** are included as part of the GF, this section **MUST** contain an authoritative list of all **controlled documents** in the GF. It:

1. **MUST** include authoritative references to all **controlled documents** in the GF.
2. **MUST** identify the exact version of each **controlled document** with a unique, permanent **DID** or **DID URL**.
3. **SHOULD** include a Web link to each **controlled documents** on the **GF website**.
4. **SHOULD** include a brief description of the purpose and scope of each **controlled document** to make it easy for readers to navigate the GF.

## 2. Controlled Documents

Each **controlled document** covers a specific area of the GF. The following sections are *categories* of **controlled documents** where each category MAY contain more than one document. Most (but not all) categories are OPTIONAL.

### 2.1. Glossary

This category provides a common basis for **terminology**. It:

1. SHOULD be a single **controlled document** for each applicable language.
2. SHOULD provide a common reference for all possibly ambiguous **terms** used in the GF.
3. SHOULD reference the [ToIP Core Glossary](#), other relevant ToIP **glossary** or GF-specific **glossary** for all relevant terms.
4. SHOULD conform to standard **requirements** for a **glossary**, i.e., list all terms alphabetically for easy reference.
5. MAY **tag** terms by category or usage.

### 2.2. Risk Assessment

This category includes an [ISO 27005](#) (or compatible) **risk assessment** for managing risk. **Controlled documents** in this category:

- SHOULD identify key **risks** that MAY negatively affect the achievement of the GF's purpose and **objectives** within its **scope**.
- SHOULD include a **risk assessment** of each key **risk** that the GF is designed to address and mitigate.
- SHOULD assess which **roles** and **processes** specified in the GF are vulnerable to each **risk** and what impacts could result.
- SHOULD include a **risk treatment plan** specifying how identified risks are to be treated (e.g. mitigated, avoided, accepted or transferred).

### 2.3. Trust Assurance and Certification

This category specifies **trust criteria** for **governed parties** be held accountable against **requirements** of the GF. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. SHOULD include a **trust assurance framework** that defines a scheme in which **governed parties** assert compliance with the **policies** of the GF and the mechanisms of assurance over those assertions.
2. SHOULD (if applicable) define the roles of **auditors** and **auditor accreditors** and the **policies** governing their **actions**.
3. SHOULD (if applicable) define the roles of **certifying parties** and the **policies** governing their **actions** and relationships with the **governing authority**, **auditors** and **auditor accreditors**.
4. SHOULD (if applicable) include **requirements** supporting the development, licensure, and usage of one or more **trust marks**.

## 2.4. Governance Requirements

These are the **requirements** for governing the GF as a whole. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. MUST specify governance **requirements** (e.g., Charter, Bylaws, Operating Rules, and so on) for:
  - a. The **governing authority** (or all interdependent **governing authorities**).
  - b. The **administering authority**, if applicable.
2. SHOULD address any **policies** required for antitrust, intellectual property rights (IPR), confidentiality, responsible use, or other **requirements** for regulatory compliance that apply to the **trust community members**.
3. SHOULD include any **requirements** governing enforcement of the GF and how [dispute resolution](#) will be handled.

## 2.5. Business Requirements

These are the **requirements** governing the business model(s) and **business rules** to be followed by the **trust community**. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. SHOULD clearly explain any exchange(s) of value between **trust community members** governed by the GF.
2. SHOULD define the **policies** and/or **rules** governing how and when these exchanges of value take place.
3. SHOULD define the **requirements** for the use of any **decision support systems**.
4. SHOULD define how all **trust community members** will be held accountable for their **actions** in these exchanges.
5. SHOULD define how the **governing authority**, **administering authority**, and the GF are sustainable under these **requirements**.

## 2.6. Technical Requirements

These are the **requirements** governing technical interoperability. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. MUST specify how **trust community members** will interoperate technically using the **ToIP technology stack** by reference to any relevant ToIP **specifications** and **recommendations**.
2. SHOULD include any additional **specifications** and/or **specification profiles** that are specific to the technical interoperability within this **trust community**.
3. SHOULD include references one or more **glossaries** (see *Glossary* section) as needed.
4. SHOULD reference any **test suites** or other testing **requirements**.

## 2.7. Information Trust Requirements

These are the **requirements** in the five categories of [trust service criteria](#) defined by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Assurance Services Executive Committee (ASEC). These can be addressed by implementing **internal controls** as defined by the Committee on the Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) [Guidance on Internal Control](#). **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. MUST specify the baseline **requirements** for **governed parties** with regard to:
  - a. Information security
  - b. Information availability
  - c. Information processing integrity
  - d. Information confidentiality
  - e. Information privacy
2. SHOULD specify the relevant **information trust policies** by reference to:
  - a. ToIP **specifications** and **recommendations**.
  - b. Other regulatory or industry standards.
  - c. GF-specific **policies**.
  - d. GF-compliant **decision support systems**.
  - e. **Trust community member-specific policies**.

## 2.8. Inclusion, Equitability, and Accessibility Requirements

These are the **requirements** governing how the GF enables fair and equal access to all. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. MUST specify how **trust community members** will enable and promote inclusion, equitability, and accessibility by reference to:
  - a. ToIP **specifications** and **recommendations**.
  - b. Other regulatory or industry standards.
  - c. GF-specific **policies**.
  - d. GF-compliant **decision support systems**.
  - e. **Trust community member-specific policies**.
2. SHOULD specifically address how the GF is designed to help bridge (or eliminate) the [digital divide](#).

## 2.9. Legal Agreements

This category includes any legal agreements specified in the GF. **Controlled documents** in this category:

1. MUST include all specified legal agreements between **trust community members**.
2. SHOULD reference the GF **glossary** document(s) for all **terms** not defined internally to the legal agreement.
3. MUST clearly state the **governed parties** to whom these legal agreements apply.
4. MUST define or reference all relevant accountability and enforcement mechanisms.
5. SHOULD reference any other relevant **requirements** in the balance of the GF.



The Trust Over IP Foundation (ToIP) is hosted by the Linux Foundation under its Joint Development Foundation legal structure. We produce a wide range of tools and deliverables organized into five categories:

- ❖ Specifications to be implemented in code
- ❖ Recommendations to be followed in practice
- ❖ Guides to be executed in operation
- ❖ White Papers to assist in decision making
- ❖ Glossaries to be incorporated in other documents

ToIP is a membership organization with three classes—Contributor, General, and Steering.

The work of the Foundation all takes place in Working Groups, within which there are Task Forces self-organized around specific interests. All ToIP members regardless of membership class may participate in all ToIP Working Groups and Task Forces.

When you join ToIP, you are joining a community of individuals and organizations committed to solving the toughest technical and human centric problems of digital trust. Your involvement will shape the future of how trust is managed across the Internet, in commerce, and throughout our digital lives. The benefits of joining our collaborative community are that together we can tackle issues that no single organization, governmental jurisdiction, or project ecosystem can solve by themselves. The results are lower costs for security, privacy, and compliance; dramatically improved customer experience, accelerated digital transformation, and simplified cross-system integration.

To learn more about the Trust Over IP Foundation please visit our website, <https://trustoverip.org>.

#### **Licensing Information:**

All Trust Over IP Foundation deliverables are published under the following licenses:

Copyright mode: Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International licenses  
<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/legalcode>

Patent mode: W3C Mode (based on the W3C Patent Policy)  
<http://www.w3.org/Consortium/Patent-Policy-20040205>

Source code: Apache 2.0.  
<http://www.apache.org/licenses/LICENSE-2.0.htm>